

REPRESENTATION

ON

‘Faceless Income-tax Tribunal’
In the Finance Bill, 2021

22ND FEBRUARY, 2021



ALL INDIA FEDERATION OF TAX PRACTITIONERS

215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai – 400 020

Tel.: 2200 6342 / 43 / 4970 6343

E-mail.: aiftpho@gmail.com • Website : www.aiftponline.org

Table of Contents

Sr. No	Particulars	Page No.
1.	About All India Federation of Tax Practitioners	1 - 2
2.	A brief background of the Income-Tax Appellate Tribunal A brief background of the Income-Tax Appellate Tribunal	2
3.	Pendency of the Appeals before the ITAT	3
4.	Acceptance of the orders of the ITAT	3
5.	Final fact-finding Authority and transparency in hearing	4 - 6
6.	ITAT was established by continuous study and considering the various reports	6
7.	Opportunity of Hearing – Tribunal has the trapping of court	7 - 9
8.	Functioning of the ITAT cannot be compared with the functioning of the Commissioner (Appeals)	9 - 10
9.	Power to constitute the Bench with Honourable President of the ITAT	10
10.	Oral hearing – A statutory right -Cannot be dispensed with	11
11.	Proposed amendment of faceless hearing without deliberation and without taking in to feedback from the stake holders	12
12.	Suggestions	12 - 13
	Exhibit - A: Few messages form the eminent personalities are the testimony for judging the performance of the ITAT.	14 - 19
	Exhibit - B: Appendix-9 which was published in the Souvenir, showing the percentage of the orders of the Income -Tax Appellate Tribunal Accepted by the parties.	20
	Exhibit - C: Number of disposals since 1941 to 2015	21 - 22



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru

☎ (0) 08812-238898

M : 9885796999

✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi

☎ (0) 0120 - 2718620

M : 9810216801

✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad

☎ (0) 040 - 40077737

M : 9989924646

✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane

☎ (0) 022 - 41235960

M : 9820073165

✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.

Mumbai

M : 9821037885

✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA

Hyderabad (SZ)

M : 9849024732

✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)

M : 9415204837

✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)

M : 9827036956

✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)

M : 9431125350

✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)

M : 9324680306

✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali

Bengaluru (SZ)

M : 9945596232

✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragayraj (NZ)

M : 9839503498

✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)

M : 9414130281

✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)

M : 9435342167

✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)

M : 9822117404

✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

February 22, 2021

To
Shri Narendra Modi
Hon'ble Prime Minister of India
New Delhi

Re: The proposed 'Faceless Income-tax Tribunal' in the Finance Bill, 2021. Clause 78 of the Bill, seeks to amend the section 255 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (**Act**) relating to procedure of the Tribunal.

Sub: An appeal to drop the proposed amendment in the section 255 of the Act.

Respected Sir,

1. About All India Federation of Tax Practitioners (AIFTP)

The All India Federation of Tax Practitioners (**AIFTP**) is an Apex body of Tax Practitioners of India which was established on November 11, 1976, which is registered under Bombay Public Charitable Trust Act, 1950 as well as Society Registration Act, 1860. The members of the AIFTP include Senior Advocates, Advocates, Solicitors, Chartered Accountants, and Tax practitioners who actively practice on Direct and Indirect Taxes laws. AIFTP is the only voluntary professional organisation in our country which has 138 Professional Organisations as its affiliated members and more than 9000 individuals as Life members from 27 States and 4 Union Territories.

The main object of the AIFTP is "To educate it's members and the public towards a better compliance with Direct tax laws and also to provide an effective forum for discussion of the matters pertaining to tax laws and other laws, accountancy and to aid the collection and



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT
M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (0) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT
D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (0) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL
S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (0) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER
CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (0) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS
CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.
Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta
Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.
Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani
Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES
CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.
Prayagraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.
Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.
Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.
Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

dissemination of information relating to there to". One of the other objects of the AIFTP is also "To strive and work for independence of Honourable Courts, Quasi-judicial authorities, Appellate Authorities, the Settlement Commission, Tribunals, the Authority for Advance Ruling or other similar Authorities"

Hon'ble Sir, at the very outset, we, the members of the AIFTP wish to congratulate you on the Budget. This budget, in a difficult year, has managed to be both idealistic and dynamic.

Sir, at the National Executive Committee meeting of the AIFTP which was held on 17-2-2021 where in 69 members from across the Country were passed and passed the unanimous resolution to drop the proposed Clause 78 of the Bill, that seeks to amend the section 255 of the Income-tax Act relating to procedure of the Tribunal may not achieve its desired objects. Accordingly, we are making an appeal to your honour to drop the proposed amendment.

We respectfully submit our reasons why the proposed amendments may not achieve the desired objectives, as under:

2. A brief background of the Income-Tax Appellate Tribunal

The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal (ITAT) was established on January 25, 1941, has completed 80 years of its purposeful existence on January 25, 1921, in 80 years it has gained the confidence of both the taxpayers and the Revenue authorities alike by rendering the impartial decisions in very transparent manner.



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT
M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT
D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL
S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER
CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS
CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.
Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta
Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.
Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani
Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES
CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sdca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.
Pragayraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.
Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.
Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.
Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

Exhibit 'A' is the few messages form the eminent personalities are the testimony for judging the performance of the ITAT. **Exhibit A**

3. Pendency of the Appeals before the ITAT

The pendency of Appeals before the ITAT as on January 1, 2021, is only 79,754 appeals all across the country. This pendency was 3,00,597 appeals as on 1998-99. The total sanctioned strength of the ITAT is 63 benches and 126 members whereas the number of members as on February 8, 2021 is only 78. There are 48 vacancies, and another 7 members will be retiring in the year 2021. Accordingly, by the end of 2021 there will be a vacancy for 55 members. If the vacancy of the members is filled, the tax payers can get the justice from the ITAT within six months of the filing of Appeals. This is one of the only Tribunals where the pendency has reduced on a year-on-year basis by adopting a case management system.

4. Acceptance of the orders of the ITAT.

As per the data published in the Platinum Jubilee Souvenir of the ITAT on January 25, 2016, at Page No 37 it has shown that on an average 96.10 % of the Orders of the Tribunal are accepted. (**Exhibit - B** is a copy of Appendix-9 which was published in the Souvenir.)

The Appendix-6 which was published in the Souvenir page No 31& 32 shows the Number of Benches, institution and disposal since 1941 to up to December 2015 (Hereto marked as **Exhibit - C**)



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA

Hyderabad (SZ)

M : 9849024732

✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)

M : 9415204837

✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)

M : 9827036956

✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)

M : 9431125350

✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)

M : 9324680306

✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali

Bengaluru (SZ)

M : 9945596232

✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragayraj (NZ)

M : 9839503498

✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)

M : 9414130281

✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)

M : 9435342167

✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)

M : 9822117404

✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

Even after insertion of appeal provision under Section 260A of the Act with effect from October 1, 1998, 70% of the appeals from the order of the Tribunal were dismissed by the various High Courts at the stage of admission itself.

The figures clearly demonstrate that the ITAT is discharging its duty to the satisfaction of the tax payers as well as the Tax Department.

5. Final fact-finding Authority and transparency in hearing

Under the Act, the ITAT is the final fact-finding authority as per Section 254 (4) of the Act, except as provided in Section 260A where orders passed by the Appellate Tribunal are considered final. The assessee or the department can file an appeal before the High Court as per Section 260A (1) of the Act and this appeal can be entertained by the High Court only if the Court is satisfied that the case involves a substantial question of law. According to us more than 80% of matters which are argued before the ITAT on facts. There are instances where for ascertaining facts, the ITAT has had to requisition the original record of recorded reasons, the sanctions given by the tax authorities, and in some of the instances, the Hon'ble Members have visited the actual fields to verify whether the agricultural activities are carried on or not! In some cases, the Hon'ble members have summoned witnesses and examined them in the witness box. Often, the paper-books filed before the Tribunal are of more than 1000 pages, and an appreciation of most of the pages if not all, may be required to ascertain the correct facts. At present the arguments of opposing



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT
M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT
D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL
S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER
CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS
CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.
Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta
Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.
Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani
Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES
CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.
Pragayraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.
Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.
Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.
Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

representatives are made in open court. When the argument of the appellant is over, the respondent gives their reply and the appellant has right to bring correct facts or positions of law on record in rejoinder. In the course of hearing, the case laws cited by the both the sides are discussed often intricately about the provisions of law and the interpretation to be given to them.

The present system is working very smoothly following the honour, dignity and convention of the open Court, which is so integral to the common law system. There is complete transparency in the proceedings of the ITAT. The assessee as well as the public can watch proceedings as then happen. The tax payers have reposed their confidence in the institution for over 80 years due to continuous efforts on the part of the institution to improve the justice delivery system. The times have evolved and so has the Tribunal.

We wish to draw your attention to the recent decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Pradyuman Bisht v. UOI & Ors. (2020) 1 SCC 443**. The Hon'ble Court was observing the question of closed-circuit television cameras to be put up in courts. The Court specifically brought out that the installation of CCTV cameras would be in the interest of justice and specifically asked the learned Additional Solicitor General as to why the Union of India had not installed CCTV cameras in Tribunals where open hearing takes place like Court such as ITAT, CESTAT, etc. as the Tribunals stand on the same footing as far as object of CCTV camera is concerned. It was further observed that recordings



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (0) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (0) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (0) 040 – 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadasi@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (0) 022 – 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA

Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali

Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragayraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

would help the constitutional authorities and the High Courts exercising jurisdiction under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution over such Tribunals if required. The bench directed that this aspect be taken up by the then learned Additional Solicitor General with the authorities concerned so that an appropriate direction is issued by the authority concerned for installation of CCTV cameras in Tribunals in same manner as in courts and an affidavit filed in this Court.

6. ITAT was established by continuous study and considering the various reports.

The idea of setting up the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal was first mooted in the Income-tax Enquiry Report 1936, which was submitted to the Government of India. The select committee was appointed to consider the Bill to amend the Indian Income-tax Act 1922. The report was presented to the Legislature Assembly on 10th November 1938. In pursuance of these recommendations, Section 5A was introduced in the Income-tax Act, 1922 and on 25-1-1941 was notified as the appointed date from which that section came in to force. The section remained unchanged in its essentials till the repeal of the Income-tax Act 1922, with effect from April 1, 1962. In the Income-tax Act, 1961, the Constitution and functions of the Tribunal have been set out in sections 252 to 255 of the Act. There is no fundamental change either in the constitution or functions of the Tribunal due to enactment of the new Income tax Act, 1961.



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru

☎ (O) 08812-238898

M : 9885796999

✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi

☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620

M : 9810216801

✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad

☎ (O) 040 - 4007737

M : 9989924646

✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane

☎ (O) 022 - 41235960

M : 9820073165

✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.

Mumbai

M : 9821037885

✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA

Hyderabad (SZ)

M : 9849024732

✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)

M : 9415204837

✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)

M : 9827036956

✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)

M : 9431125350

✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)

M : 9324680306

✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali

Bengaluru (SZ)

M : 9945596232

✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Prayagraj (NZ)

M : 9839503498

✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)

M : 9414130281

✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)

M : 9435342167

✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)

M : 9822117404

✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

7. Opportunity of Hearing – Tribunal has the trapping of court.

Section 254(1) of the Income -tax Act, 1961 reads as under

“The Appellate Tribunal may, after giving both the parties to the appeal an opportunity of being heard, pass such orders thereon as it thinks fit.”

Further, Rule 33 of ITAT Rules, 1963, clearly states that the proceedings before the Tribunal shall be open to the public. Relevant portion of the rule is usefully extracted as under:

“Proceedings before the Tribunal.

33. Except in cases to which the provisions of section 54 of the Indian Income-tax Act, 1922, and/or section 137 of the Act are applicable and cases in respect of which the Central Government has issued a notification under sub-section (2) of section 138 of the Act, the proceedings before the Tribunal shall be open to the public. However, the Tribunal may, in its discretion, direct that proceedings before it in a particular case will not be open to the public.”

In the case of **Ajay Gandhi v. B. Singh (2004) 265 ITR 451 (456)** the Supreme Court observed that the Income tax Appellate Tribunal exercises judicial functions and has the trapping of a court.



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA

Hyderabad (SZ)

M : 9849024732

✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)

M : 9415204837

✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)

M : 9827036956

✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)

M : 9431125350

✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)

M : 9324680306

✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali

Bengaluru (SZ)

M : 9945596232

✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragayraj (NZ)

M : 9839503498

✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)

M : 9414130281

✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)

M : 9435342167

✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)

M : 9822117404

✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

In the case of **ITAT v. V. K. Agarwal (1999) 235 ITR 175 (SC)**, before the Court the counsel for Union of India conceded that the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal performs judicial functions and was a court subordinate to the High Court. The Court held that the Tribunal is competent to initiate contempt proceedings under Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Rajesh Kumar v. DCIT [2006] 287 ITR 91 (SC)** has re-iterated based on Section 136 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, that proceedings before Income-tax Authorities are judicial proceedings. Section 255(6) states that "The Appellate Tribunal shall, for the purposes of discharging its functions, have all of the powers that are vested in the Income-tax authorities referred to in Section 131". It continues to state that "any proceeding before the Appellate Tribunal shall be deemed to be a judicial proceeding within the meaning of Section 193 and 228 and for the purpose of Section 196 of the Indian Penal Code and the Tribunal proceedings shall be deemed to be a civil court for all the purposes of Chapter XXXV of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898". The language employed in the latter part of Section 255(6) is virtually identical to that used in Section 136 of the Act. Section 293 of the Act provides for a specific bar of suits in the civil court. An extension of the logic seems to make it clear that the Court exercises at least 'quasi-judicial' function. It is therefore important that the independence of the Tribunal is zealously preserved. Section 254 (1) of the Income -tax Act 1961 states that Tribunal may, after giving both the parties to the



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT
M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (0) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT
D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (0) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL
S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (0) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER
CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (0) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS
CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.
Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta
Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.
Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani
Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES
CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.
Pragayraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.
Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.
Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.
Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

appeal an opportunity of being heard, pass such orders thereon as it thinks fit.

In the case of **Nareshbhai Bhagubhai & Ors v. UOI (2019) 15 SCC 1**, The Hon'ble Supreme Court has held that the right to be heard even in an administrative decision-making process is not mere formality. The right of hearing is mandatory and substantive right and must be strictly followed and not following the same is violative of principle of natural justice.

When an assessee approaches the ITAT Justice must not only be done but also be seen to be done. The current open court system is in consonance with the said principles said down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court from time to time.

8. Functioning of the ITAT cannot be compared with the functioning of the Commissioner (Appeals).

The proceedings before the Commissioner (Appeals) are a continuation of assessment proceedings, whereas appeal before the ITAT is an independent adjudicating body. The former proceedings are internal proceedings in as far as the Income tax Department is concerned. The Tribunal is the first truly independent body free from pressures of the Income tax Department in the process of adjudication of tax disputes, allowing them to be empowered to administer justice.

The not giving an opportunity of personal hearing before the Tribunal is a violation of principles of natural justice and contrary



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragayraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

to the safe guard guaranteed by the Constitution of India under Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India. It is imperative to meet the test of Constitutional validity.

9. Power to constitute the Bench with Honourable President of the ITAT

As per section 255(5) of the Income -tax Act, 1961 it is the Appellate Tribunal that shall have the power to regulate its own procedure and procedure of the Benches thereof, in all matters arising out of the exercise of its powers or in the discharge of its functions, including the places at which the Benches shall hold their sittings. The ITAT functions under the Ministry of Finance whereas the ITAT functions under the Ministry of law and Justice. The Income tax department is always one of the parties before the ITAT either as appellent or respondent. If at all any scheme is to be framed it should be by the Ministry of law and Justice and not by the Ministry of Finance headed by the CBDT. In the case of **Madras Bar Association v. UOI (2014) 109 DTR 273/ 227 Taxman 151**, the Court held that the dispensation of justice by the Tribunals can be effective only when they function independent of any executive control. The Court also observed that the Parliament must ensure new Tribunal conforms to salient characteristics and standards of court sought to be substituted. A failure to do so will be violative of "Basic structure" of Constitution of India and the said ratio is also applicable to the Income tax Appellate Tribunal. We therefore make an appeal that that the dispensation of justice an open court hearing is a must in before the ITAT.



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai5malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragyaaraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

10. Oral hearing – A statutory right -Cannot be dispensed with

One will appreciate that since the establishment of the ITAT in the year 1941, the appeals have been disposed of orally hearing the parties in appeal. In **Automotive Tyres Manufacturers' Association v. Designated Authority (2011) 2 SCC 258**, the Honourable Court has held that even written arguments are no substitute for an oral hearing. A personal hearing enables the authority concerned to watch the demeanour of the witness etc. and also clear up his doubts during the course of arguments. In **G.N Rao v. Andhra Pradesh State Road Transport Corporation AIR 1959 SC 308** the Court held that personal hearing enables a party appearing at such hearing to persuade the Authority concerned by reasoned arguments to accept his point of view by removing the authority's doubt and by answering the question. In **P. N. Eswara Iyer v. Registrar, Supreme Court of India (1980) 4 SCC 680**, the Court held that the normal rule of judicial process is oral hearing and its elimination an unusual exception. The Apex Court further held that justicing is an art even as advocacy is an art. It was held that no judicial 'Emergency' can jettison the vital breach of spoken advocacy in an open forum and there is no judicial cry for extinguishment of oral argument all together.

Accordingly, the decision to deny oral hearing at the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal stage needs to be reconsidered as otherwise the same shall not only be in violation of principle of natural justice but the same shall also suffer from the vice of unfairness.



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT
M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT
D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL
S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER
CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS
CA. S. B. KABRA
Hyderabad (SZ)
M : 9849024732
✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.
Varanasi (NZ)
M : 9415204837
✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta
Indore (CZ)
M : 9827036956
✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.
Ranchi (EZ)
M : 9431125350
✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani
Mumbai (WZ)
M : 9324680306
✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES
CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali
Bengaluru (SZ)
M : 9945596232
✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.
Pragayraj (NZ)
M : 9839503498
✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.
Jodhpur (CZ)
M : 9414130281
✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.
Guwahati (EZ)
M : 9435342167
✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.
Pune (WZ)
M : 9822117404
✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

11. Proposed amendment of faceless hearing without deliberation and without taking in to feedback from the stake holders.

The proposed amendment to face less hearing is proposed without consulting various stake holders. Now, while the ITAT is hearing the Virtual hearing of matters, a number of technical problems, such as poor internet connectivity and other technological and technical difficulties are often encountered. The filing of appeal by email is not implemented even as of today. The assesses must first be made aware of the use of technology, development of software etc in order for them to have confidence in the system. Both the faceless assessment and faceless appeals [before the Commissioner of Income tax (Appeals)] are yet to be tested and stand the trial of time. When Virtual hearing themselves have not proven to be confidence inspiring, the proposed Faceless functioning of the ITAT may prove to be a step that does not benefit the taxpayer. The desire for speedy disposal of cases can not be at the cost of fairness. Speedy disposal of cases does not mean that one should decide the case in vilation of principle of natural justice and fairness.

12. Suggestions.

1. The proposed amendment may be dropped.
2. In case the Government is keen to introduce the faceless ITAT, the following procedures may be followed:



All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

(An Association of Advocates, Chartered Accountants & Tax Practitioners of India)

Regd. Office : 215, Rewa Chambers, 31, New Marine Lines, Mumbai 400 020.

Tel.: 022-22006342 / 43 / 49706343 | E-mail: aiftpho@gmail.com | Website: www.aiftponline.org

NATIONAL PRESIDENT

M. Srinivasa Rao – T.P., Eluru
☎ (O) 08812-238898
M : 9885796999
✉ sai9malladi@yahoo.com

DEPUTY PRESIDENT

D. K. Gandhi – Adv., New Delhi
☎ (O) 0120 - 2718620
M : 9810216801
✉ advocatedkgandhi@gmail.com

SECRETARY GENERAL

S. S. Satyanarayana – T.P., Hyderabad
☎ (O) 040 - 40077737
M : 9989924646
✉ sreepadass@gmail.com

TREASURER

CA. Vijay N. Kewalramani, Thane
☎ (O) 022 - 41235960
M : 9820073165
✉ info@kewalramani.in

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT

Nikita R. Badheka, – Adv.
Mumbai
M : 9821037885
✉ nikita.badheka@gmail.com

VICE PRESIDENTS

CA. S. B. KABRA

Hyderabad (SZ)

M : 9849024732

✉ ca.sbkabra@gmail.com

O. P. Shukla – Adv.

Varanasi (NZ)

M : 9415204837

✉ opslaw_1962@rediffmail.com

CA. Rajesh Mehta

Indore (CZ)

M : 9827036956

✉ rajeshmehta_indore@yahoo.com

Anand Kumar Pasari – Adv.

Ranchi (EZ)

M : 9431125350

✉ anandpasari17@gmail.com

CA. Janak K. Vaghani

Mumbai (WZ)

M : 9324680306

✉ janak.vaghani1@gmail.com

JOINT SECRETARIES

CA. Siddeshwar Yelamali

Bengaluru (SZ)

M : 9945596232

✉ siddeshwar@sduca.com

Arvind Kumar Mishra – Adv.

Pragayraj (NZ)

M : 9839503498

✉ arvind.misra1306@gmail.com

Deep Chand Mali – Adv.

Jodhpur (CZ)

M : 9414130281

✉ dcmali2015@gmail.com

Amit Goyal – Adv.

Guwahati (EZ)

M : 9435342167

✉ goyalamit@gmail.com

Anagha Kulkarni – Adv.

Pune (WZ)

M : 9822117404

✉ anagha6923@gmail.com

Ref. No.

Date.....

(a) The law commission may be requested to prepare the report on the Virtual and face less hearing of the ITAT, after interacting with the stake holders across the country.

(b) After receipt of the report the proposed amendment may be referred to a select committee.

(c) After receipt of the report from the Parliament committee the suitable amendment if any desired may be introduced.

Sir, we request your good self to consider our representation and we also request for a personal hearing to consider our representation at Delhi or any other place for put forward our suggestions

Thanking you

For All India Federation of Tax Practitioners

M. Srinivasa Rao
National President

Exhibit - A

The then Honourable President of India, Shri Pranab Mukherjee, on the occasion of platinum Jubilee Celebration on January 25, 2016 in a message which was published in the Souvenir of the ITAT reads as under –

“Over the last more than seven decades, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal has shown exemplary diligence in dealing with intricate domestic as well as International taxation issues and rendering decisions which balances the interests of the taxmen and citizens. The Tribunal has been adjudicating disputes in the field of direct taxes in affair and impartial manner. It has been discharging its functions not only to the satisfaction of the Executive but also that of the taxpayers at large.”

The Hon’ble Shri Arun Jaitley, the then Minister of Finance, Corporate Affairs and Information & Broadcasting, India

“Income Tax Appellate Tribunal has conducted itself in an unbiased and fair manner in the discharge of its duty of adjudicating disputes under direct tax laws, and is held in high esteem by the tax paying fraternity as well as Revenue Department.”

The Hon’ble Shri R. K. Malhotra, the then Secretary Government of India Ministry of law & Justice Department of Legal Affairs.

“Establishing the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal under the Income -tax Act 1922 i.e. prior to independence in 1947 and its continuation after the independence in 1947, without much change, itself, is an indication that functioning of the Tribunal has been a success. It has exhibited independence in its working, free from any pressure.

The pendency in the Appellate Tribunal has come down by adopting Case Management System and on an average appeal are decided with in a period of one to two years.”

Shri Philip Baker QC. Field Court Tax Chambers – The Income Tax Appellate Tribunal & International Taxation.

“75 years ago, no one could have predicted that the ITAT would become one of the most active Tribunals in the world dealing with issues such as interpretation of tax treaties and transfer pricing. The ITAT is developing expertise and experience in these areas. It will be interesting to see how this develops over next 25 years, and to see the comments that will be made when the ITAT reaches its century.”

On the occasion of Diamond Jubilee 25-1-2001:

The Hon’ble Shri A.B. Vajpayee, the then Prime Minister of India

“As a quasi -judicial body, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal has been fulfilling an important duty ever since it was set up in January 1941. On this occasion, I urge everybody associated with the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal to rededicate themselves to their motto “Easy and Quick Justice.”

The Hon’ble Justice Dr. Adarsh Sein Anand, the then Chief Justice of India

“The Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, one of the oldest quasi -judicial institutions in this country is completing 60 years of its existence. This Tribunal has been earning accolades from various sections of the society more particularly from the litigant public for rendering quick and inexpensive justice in an extremely complicated area of law i.e. direct taxes.”

Golden Jubilee January 25, 1991

Shri Nani A. Palkhivala, Senior, Advocate: The Maddening Instability of the Income-tax Law

“On 25th January 1991 the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal completed fifty years of its existence. On its Golden Jubilee it is but fair to record that it has won golden opinions on all sides throughout the half a century that it has functioned. There is no doubt that over this long period, the Tribunal has been manned by some very able individuals. Quite a few of them were fit to be adorn any High Court Bench. No other Tribunal in India has won such well deserved popularity and confidence of the public as the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal.”

The Hon’ble Mr . Justice Y.V. Anjaneulu Former Judge, Andhra Pradesh High Court, Presently Member, Law Commission. In the Law Commission of India 115th Report on Tax Courts in the year 1986 dt 28 -8 1986 which was presented to the then Hon’ble Law Minter Shri Ashok Kumar Sen at page No, 12 dealing with the issues relating to the formation of the Central Tax Court observed as under:

The Commission hastened to add in para 2. 29:

“There is near unanimity of opinion that Income -tax Appellate Tribunal has immensely justified its existence and largely vindicated the trust reposed in it. It has therefore, to be retained with its regional jurisdiction. It would be the last fact finding authority.”

Ruby Jubilee Souvenir-1981 (40th Anniversary)

The Hon’ble Mr. Justice Y. V. Chandrachud, Former Chief Justice of India

“.. The Income -tax Appellate Tribunal is a model administrative Tribunal whose illustrious example and commendable performance may well be emulated by similar other Tribunals in different disciplines. There is

uniform praise of the manner in which the Tribunal functions and I suppose it is one of the few quasi -legal institutions which is not plagued by the problem of arrears.”

Shri N. A. Palkhivala, Senior Advocate, Bombay High Court – Forty Years of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal

“The Tribunal functions as a judicial body under the Ministry of law and entirely beyond the control of the Income -tax Department. Happily, it is fully insulated from the pressures and influences of both bureaucrats and politicians. The Tribunal has shown great independence and courage in deciding cases according to law and in consonance with justice, regardless of the stakes involved. In short, it has fulfilled the purpose for which it was brought in to existence and fully justified the high expectations with which it was conceived.

While ten of thousands of appeals are instituted in the Tribunal every year, about 90 per cent of them do not go on reference to the High Court. The Tribunal’s judgements on point of law are upheld on over two-thirds of the references heard by the various High Courts.

There is no doubt that over the period of 40 years the Tribunal manned by some very able men. Quite a few of them would be fit to adorn any High Court Bench. No other Tribunal in India has won such well-deserved popularity and confidence of the public as the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal. In fact, the time has come when a Tribunal, similarly functioning as a judicial body under the Ministry of Law, should be constituted to hear appeals under various other laws.”

Hon’ble Shri H.R. Gokhale, the then Union Law Minister

(Lok Sabha debates, 18th Session on the Constitution (42nd Amendment)

Bill in the Lok Sabha on 1st November, 1976)

“I have got the example of the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal. We have judicial members, we have the accountant members. The Tribunal is functioning extremely well and even those people who have gone before the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal have told me and have spoken on the public platform that the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal as it constituted to day, is the best example to show how the Tribunals, if properly constituted, can create confidence. I can say that the Income -tax Appellate Tribunal ‘s decisions are rarely interfered with by the High courts and the Supreme Court because the quality of their work has been found to be sufficiently good as to inspire confidence.”

Silver Jubilee – Souvenir - 23rd April 1966.

Hon’ble Dr. Sarvepalli Radhakrishnan, Former President of India

“Born as the Tribunal was, out of the strong and natural desire of the people to be able appeal to an independent body on important questions of fact, it provides a popular and informal forum for giving substantial justice, not bound by the rules of evidence, to persons aggrieved by decisions, of the Appellate Assistant Commissioners. The Tribunal, in the 25 years of its existence, has earned unstinted praise for the independence of its decisions; and its fervent desire to do justice it has won well merited confidence of the public.”

The Hon’ble Shri A.K Sen, Member of Parliament (Lok Sabha) (Former Law Minister)

“I was associated as a Minister having the administrative charge of the Tribunal for nearly nine years. During this time, I have seen the Tribunal grow in stature, popularity and importance. It has been endeavor of the Government to keep the Tribunal absolutely independent, so that its adjudication is rendered not only fearless and independent but it also appears to be so. I have seen the working of the Tribunal both as a member of the Bar and as a member of the Government. I can say with

confidence that amongst the administrative tribunals in the country it occupies a unique position. The confidence which it enjoys amongst the public and respect which it commands from the commercial community would be a matter of pride for any Tribunal in any country. I have every confidence and hope that the Tribunal would continue to serve the country and the litigant public without its working being interfered with or subjected to uniformed criticism.”

The Hon’ble Shri C.K. Dapthary, Former Attorney General of India.

“... though it is said that statistics support only the statistician yet some times they serve to underline a point and it will not be out of place to mention some figures. Various Benches have for five years from 1958 to 1963 disposed of over 66000 appeals and in only about 1175 of them, that is 4% have been reference to the High Courts. The Tribunal’s orders have been reversed inn only a small percentage and even where there have been further appeals to the Supreme Court, great majority of the decisions have been up held. These are figures which speak for themselves. The reasons are apparent. The Benches have been manned by men of ability whether they are Judicial or Accountant members “

Appendix-9

Year	Details of Tribunal orders accepted by parties and/or upheld by High Court and Supreme Court from 1984-85 to 1997-98						Details of cases considered and decided by High Court				
	No. of cases disposed of	No. of Ref. filed by the parties	No. of orders accepted by parties	No. of Ref. Rejected	No. of Ref. granted	Year	No. of A s considered by High Court	No. of orders upheld by High Court or set aside	Orders of Tribunal Reversed		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
1984-85	42037	12956	29081	5812	7144	1985	766	479	287		
1985-86	43234	11045	32189	5821	5224	1986	1128	774	354		
1986-87	49797	12133	37664	5745	6388	1987	894	577	317		
1987-88	37746	11731	26015	6303	5428	1988	937	639	298		
1988-89	34808	10440	24368	6166	4274	1989	757	513	244		
1989-90	46608	12277	34331	7524	4753	1990	652	423	229		
1990-91	40991	13985	27006	8696	5289	1991	838	563	275		
1991-92	44461	15706	28755	9678	6028	1992	888	640	248		
1992-93	43744	12067	31677	7578	4489	1993	1037	665	372		
1993-94	38207	11377	26830	7105	4272	1994	1018	715	303		
1994-95	39996	12434	27562	7387	5047	1995	785	510	275		
1995-96	43278	8671	34607	5019	3652	1996	738	481	257		
1996-97	46472	8912	37560	4428	4484	1997	731	467	264		
1997-98	45859	7619	38240	4439	3180	1998	797	517	280		
TOTAL	597238	161353	435885	91701	69652		11966	7963	4003		
% To Reference Disposal by Tribunal		56.83		43.17							
% To Reference Disposal by High Court		66.55		33.45							
% To Appeal Disposal		27.02	72.98	15.35	11.66	7.76		3.90			
Total Acceptance/Approval		96.10% (72.98% plus 15.35% plus 7.77%)									





APPENDIX - 6

STATEMENT SHOWING INSTITUTION, DISPOSAL AND PENDENCY OF APPEALS FOR THE YEAR 1940-41 UPTO DECEMBER 2015

Year	Number of Benches	Institution	Disposal	Pendency	No. of Effective Benches	Average Disposal Per Bench Per Month
1940-41	3	229	19	210	3	0.52
1941-42	3	1607	880	937	3	24.44
1942-43	4	2121	1750	1308	4	36.45
1943-44	5	2590	2200	1698	5	36.66
1944-45	5	3341	2571	2468	5	42.85
1945-46	5	3527	1931	4064	5	32.18
1946-47	5	5037	3766	5335	5	62.76
1947-48	5	6108	3840	6644	5	64
1948-49	5	6536	4661	8519	5	77.68
1949-50	6	7587	6899	9207	6	95.81
1950-51	7	10166	3324	11049	7	39.57
1951-52	8	9310	10010	10349	8	104.27
1952-53	8	9897	12782	7464	8	133.14
1953-54	8	9965	10221	7208	8	106.46
1954-55	8	8916	8366	7758	8	87.14
1955-56	8	10088	9604	8242	8	100.04
1956-57	8	10846	9002	10086	8	93.77
1957-58	9	14571	10383	14274	9	96.13
1958-59	9	15268	10940	18602	9	101.29
1959-60	10	16260	13112	21750	10	109.26
1960-61	10	16163	16214	21699	10	135.11
1961-62	12	15075	17151	19623	12	119.1
1962-63	12	18255	16777	21101	12	116.5
1963-64	12	22380	16667	26814	12	115.74
1964-65	12	21251	15266	32799	12	106.01
1965-66	12	21926	16648	38077	12	115.61
1966-67	14	27720	18239	47558	14	108.56
1967-68	15	31102	23157	55503	15	128.65
1968-69	19	31929	24098	63334	19	105.69
1969-70	23	39429	28092	74671	23	101.78
1970-71	23	43513	33600	84584	23	121.73
1971-72	30	45152	46546	83190	26.1	148.61
1972-73	30	51424	52639	81975	27.8	157.79
1973-74	38	53675	66324	69326	34.5	160.2
1974-75	38	56222	62962	62586	33.5	156.62
1975-76	38	60716	63715	59587	33.3	159.44
1976-77	38	59517	62230	56874	34.3	151.19
1977-78	38	57467	55489	58852	32.6	141.84
1978-79	38	53839	58110	54581	32.5	149

INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL*Ministry of Law & Justice, Government of India*

Year	Number of Benches	Institution	Disposal	Pendency	No. of Effective Benches	Average Disposal Per Bench Per Month
1979-80	38	53980	55376	53185	31.6	146.03
1980-81	38	56820	58281	51724	33	147.17
1981-82	38	59492	55438	55778	31.7	145.73
1982-83	38	61387	48933	68232	27.8	146.68
1983-84	38	70060	61205	77087	30.4	167.77
1984-85	38	63123	54993	85217	30.52	150.15
1985-86	38	68581	54279	99519	29.05	155.7
1986-87	38	62437	61930	100026	29.39	175.59
1987-88	38	71378	49477	121927	27.24	151.36
1988-89	38	74748	45248	151427	24.3	155.17
1989-90	38	78673	58885	171215	28.76	170.62
1990-91	38	82676	54976	198915	27.78	164.91
1991-92	38	83765	60167	222513	29.42	170.42
1992-93	38	79189	55811	245855	26.48	175.63
1993-94	38	70334	49584	266605	26.63	155.16
1994-95	38	69980	52430	284155	28.5	153.3
1995-96	38	64321	51949	296527	28	154.61
1996-97	38	58517	55384	299580	29.6	155.92
1997-98	53	53871	53473	299978	28.6	155.8
1998-99	53	50832	50213	300597	31.68	132.08
1999-00	53	36995	74940	262652	29.18	214.01
2000-01	53	33951	54450	242153	37.42	121.25
2001-02	53	30858	66968	206043	48.67	114.66
2002-03	53	48585	73959	180666	52.58	117.22
2003-04	63	46916	68848	158734	52.58	109.12
2004-05	63	57331	78901	137164	51.25	128.29
2005-06	63	45283	73445	108468	54.1	113.13
2006-07	63	43192	65524	86136	55.17	98.98
2007-08	63	44356	59653	70839	46.17	107.66
2008-09	63	40372	55889	55322	48.92	95.2
2009-10	63	42023	49353	47992	44.17	93.11
2010-11	63	43875	36293	55574	38.25	79.67
2011-12	63	42346	33816	64104	37.75	74.65
2012-13	63	43934	33752	74286	41.25	68.18
2013-14	63	46243	31886	88643	36.08	73.65
2014-15	63	45072	30494	103238	35.42	71.74
2015-16 (April,15 to Dec.,15)	63	25866	33447	95657	40.56	91.63